

N/A

PERFORMANCE T		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Excellent	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003 2004	Excellent	Below Average	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	67.1	65.3	62.1	62.9	62.8	62.5	
Passed 2 subtests	20.3	17.3	24.2	18.5	17.8	18.1	
Passed 1 subtest	10.1	10.7	9.1	10.7	12.2	11.4	
Passed no subtests	2.5	6.7	4.5	7.9	7.3	7.3	

All Students 71 97.2 68 10.3 72 93 Gender Male 36 97.2 35 11.4 39 88 Female 35 97.1 33 9.1 33 100 Race or Ethnic Group African American 35 94.3 37 0.0 39 95 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 </th <th colspan="6">PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS</th>	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS						
All Students 71 97.2 68 10.3 72 93 Gender Male 36 97.2 35 11.4 39 8 Female 35 97.1 33 9.1 33 100 Race or Ethnic Group African American 35 94.3 37 0.0 39 95 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 36 100.0 31 22.6 33 93 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 1 I/S 1 Students without disabilities 71 97.2 67 10.4 71 93 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N 0 N English Proficiency N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Eligibility Scholar</th><th>for LIFE ships*</th><th>Graduat</th><th>ion Rate</th></t<>				Eligibility Scholar	for LIFE ships*	Graduat	ion Rate
Gender Male 36 97.2 35 11.4 39 8 Female 35 97.1 33 9.1 33 100 Race or Ethnic Group African American 35 94.3 37 0.0 39 96 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/	All Students						% 93.1
Male 36 97.2 35 11.4 39 8 Female 35 97.1 33 9.1 33 100 Race or Ethnic Group African American 35 94.3 37 0.0 39 96 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 <td></td> <td>, ,</td> <td>31.L</td> <td>00</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>12</td> <td>30.1</td>		, ,	31.L	00	10.0	12	30.1
Race or Ethnic Group African American 35 97.1 33 9.1 33 100 African American 35 94.3 37 0.0 39 96 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 <td></td> <td>36</td> <td>97.2</td> <td>35</td> <td>11.4</td> <td>39</td> <td>87.2</td>		36	97.2	35	11.4	39	87.2
African American 35 94.3 37 0.0 39 93 Hispanic N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 71 93 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A							100.0
Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 36 100.0 31 22.6 33 93 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 1 1/S 1 Students without disabilities 71 97.2 67 10.4 71 93 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A N/A 68 10.3 0 N N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A	Race or Ethnic Group						
White 36 100.0 31 22.6 33 93 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 1 I/S 1 Students without disabilities 71 97.2 67 10.4 71 93 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N Non-migrant N/A N/A N/A 68 10.3 0 N English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A	African American	35	94.3	37	0.0	39	92.3
Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 1 I/S 1 Students without disabilities 71 97.2 67 10.4 71 93 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-migrant N/A N/A 68 10.3 0 N English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-LEP 70 97.1 68 10.3 71 94 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	•			0		0	N/A
Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 1 I/S 1 Students without disabilities 71 97.2 67 10.4 71 93 Migrant Status Wigrant N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A	White	36	100.0	31	22.6	33	93.9
Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 1 I/S 1 Students without disabilities 71 97.2 67 10.4 71 93 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A <td< td=""><td>Other</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>0</td><td>N/A</td><td>0</td><td>N/A</td></td<>	Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Migrant Status N/A N/A 0 0 0 0	Disability Status						
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-migrant N/A N/A 68 10.3 0 N English Proficiency Emitted English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-LEP 70 97.1 68 10.3 71 94 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	1	I/S
Migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-migrant N/A N/A N/A 68 10.3 0 N English Proficiency Emitted English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-LEP 70 97.1 68 10.3 71 94 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	Students without disabilities	71	97.2	67	10.4	71	93.0
Non-migrant N/A N/A N/A 68 10.3 0 N English Proficiency Elimited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-LEP 70 97.1 68 10.3 71 94 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	Migrant Status						
English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-LEP 70 97.1 68 10.3 71 94 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 96	Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N Non-LEP 70 97.1 68 10.3 71 99 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	68	10.3	0	N/A
Non-LEP 70 97.1 68 10.3 71 94 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	English Proficiency						
Lunch Status Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Subsidized meals 35 94.3 31 0.0 33 93	Non-LEP	70	97.1	68	10.3	71	94.4
	Lunch Status						
Full-pay meals 35 100.0 37 18.9 39 93	Subsidized meals	35	94.3	31	0.0	33	93.9
ruii pay modio	Full-pay meals	35	100.0	37	18.9	39	92.3

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at	10.3	9.8
four-year institutions*		
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	10.3	10.0

58.8

49.6

Seniors who met the grade point average
*Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

SCHOOL PROFILE						
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School		
Students (n= 314)						
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	8.4%	7.3%		
Attendance rate	98.2%	Up from 97.7%	95.3%	95.5%		
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0% 11.5%	Down from 2.3% Up from 8.2%	3.1% 12.8%	5.1% 12.2%		
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	13.4% 2.5%	Up from 11.7% Down from 3.6%	10.8% 2.6%	10.1% 2.3%		
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	6.9% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A		
Annual dropout rate	0.3%	No change	2.7%	2.7%		
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	14.4%	Up from 13.4%	5.2%	3.2%		
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 243	Down from 263	501	433		
Students participating in worked-based experiences	8.7%	Down from 72.6%	22.2%	26.3%		
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	83.9%	Down from 87.7%	74.0%	74.9%		
Career/technology completers placed	97.6%	Up from 96.0%	99.5%	99.5%		
Teachers (n= 30)						
Teachers with advanced degrees	33.3%	Down from 35.7%	45.9%	51.7%		
Continuing contract teachers	76.7%	Down from 89.3%	78.1%	81.8%		
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Teachers returning from previous year	87.1%	Up from 85.5%	84.9%	85.1%		
Teacher attendance rate	95.8%	Down from 97.6% Down 1.8%	95.8% \$39.713	95.8%		
Average teacher salary	\$34,841		, ,	\$40,303		
Prof. development days/teacher	11.2 days	Up from 5.4 days	10.0 days	10.3 days		
School						
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	4.0 18.3 to 1	Up from 3.0 Down from 18.5 to 1	4.0 26.7 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1		
Prime instructional time	91.3%	Down from 93.4%	90.1%	90.1%		
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,771	Up 13.9%	\$6,203	\$6,279		
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	59.5%	Down from 62.3%	57.2%	57.8%		
Opportunities in the arts	Fair	No change	Excellent	Excellent		
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	Up from 48.9% N/A	95.6%	87.8%		
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	yes	14/71	yes	yes		

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The year 2002-2003 proved to be exciting, challenging and quite emotional for everyone at Hannah-Pamplico High School. We greeted 309 students and 40 staff members, 6 of who were new to our district. The enthusiasm for the "Raider Spirit" by our returning students was shared by our new family members.

The excitement of extracurricular sports, homecoming and other activities that accompany the first semester made the fall exciting. We were overwhelmed with our student's 100 percent success rate that we received on the fall administration of the BSAP. This was a phenomenal feat in light of the fact that these students had attempted this examination one to two times previously. We were also pleased with the performance of our juniors and seniors on the SAT during the fall. The spring and second semester were as exciting as the fall with the multitude of activities. Seniors focused on their college applications, financial aid applications and other post secondary goals. Our underclassmen and staff were cautiously optimistic about the myriad of examinations that they would be required to participate in during the spring.

We were delighted to observe an increase in our SAT scores and our preliminary BSAP Exit Examination scores. Some of the academic successes include, but are not limited to: SAT - 21 of the 44 seniors that took the SAT scored more than 1,000. Scholarships: Palmetto (1), Life (27), Hope (3), others (26) totaling \$685,600.00. BSAP (Exit Exam) Reading (81.8%) Math (86.4%) met standard.

First place in Seventh Annual Technical Mathematics Contest. A/AA Pee Dee Academic Challenge Champions. We are also delighted to report that our school received the State Department of Education's Red Carpet Award for 2003. This recognition is one that is shared by our students, staff and community. The community of Pamplico should be proud of its school, students, and staff for the accomplishments attained in 2002-03. With all these great accomplishments, we were all saddened by the tragic loss of our student body president in an automobile accident. The events surrounding the tragic death of this young man who was number one in his class made a lasting, debilitating impact on our school and community. The educational values learned from this tragic experience were more valuable than any gleaned through other means.

Bernard McDaniel, Sr., Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	33	63	42			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	96.9%	71.4%	84.6%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	87.1%	76.3%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	87.5%	76.2%	87.8%			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.